



The surveillance programme for infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR) and infectious pustular vulvovaginitis (IPV) in Norway 2020



The surveillance programme for infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR) and infectious pustular vulvovaginitis (IPV) in Norway 2020

Authors

Thea Blystad Klem, Johan Åkerstedt & Siv Klevar

Suggested citation

Klem, Thea Blystad, Åkerstedt, Johan, Klevar, Siv. The surveillance programme for infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR) and infectious pustular vulvovaginitis (IPV) in Norway 2020. Surveillance program report. Veterinærinstituttet 2021. © Norwegian Veterinary Institute, copy permitted with citation

Quality controlled by

Merete Hofshagen, Director of Animal Health, Animal Welfare and Food Safety, Norwegian Veterinary Institute

Published

2021 on www.vetinst.no
ISSN 1890-3290 (electronic edition)
© Norwegian Veterinary Institute 2021

Commissioned by / In collaboration with

Norwegian Food Safety Authority



Colophon

Cover design: Reine Linjer
Cover photo: Colourbox
www.vetinst.no

Content

Summary 3
Introduction 3
Aim 3
Materials and methods 4
Results 5
Discussion 6
References..... 6

Summary

All samples tested in 2020 were negative for antibodies against bovine herpes virus (BHV-1).

Introduction

Infectious bovine rhinotracheitis and infectious pustular vulvovaginitis (IBR/IPV) is a disease caused by bovine herpesvirus 1 (BHV-1). The virus affects the upper respiratory tract of cattle causing purulent nasal discharge, hyperaemia of the muzzle and conjunctivitis. Signs of general illness are fever, depression, reduced appetite, abortions and reduced milk yield. The virus may also infect the genital tract and cause pustular vulvovaginitis and balanoposthitis. IBR/IPV is classified as a list B disease in Norway and is notifiable to the Office International des Epizooties.

Norway has not experienced clinical outbreaks of infectious pustular vulvovaginitis since the early 1960s, when two outbreaks were diagnosed in cattle. In 1993, animals in one single herd were found seropositive after primary testing of bulk milk samples. Clinical signs of IBR/IPV were not recorded and all animals on the farm were slaughtered. Attempts to isolate the virus from organ samples gave negative results. Contact herds and dairy herds in the same region were found serologically negative (1). Likewise, red deer that were shot in the neighbourhood during the hunting season the same year were found seronegative. Later, BHV-1 infection has not been demonstrated in Norway.

EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) has recognised Norway as free from IBR since 1994. Decisions concerning the additional guarantees relating to IBR/IPV for bovines destined for Norway are described in ESA Decision 74/94/COL. Maintenance of the ESA Decisions accepting the IBR-free status of Norway requires annual reports of the surveillance of the disease.

The Norwegian Food Safety Authority was responsible for implementing the surveillance programme for IBR/IPV. The Norwegian Veterinary Institute was in charge of planning the programme, collecting the bulk milk samples from the dairies and performing the tests. Blood samples from beef herds were collected by inspectors from the Norwegian Food Safety Authority at slaughterhouses.

Aim

The aim of the surveillance programme for IBR/IPV was to document freedom from the infection in Norway according to the demands in ESA Decision 74/94/COL with amendments, and to contribute to the maintenance of this favourable situation.

Materials and methods

The surveillance programme included both dairy and beef herds. The target population of dairy herds consisted of all cattle herds delivering milk to dairies during the sampling period. The target population of beef herds was all herds delivering cattle to slaughter in 2020.

Eighteen per cent of the dairy herds were randomly selected for sampling. Bulk milk samples were provided by the dairies. From the beef herds, individual blood samples from animals older than 24 months were collected at 21 slaughterhouses, with a maximum of five animals per herd and sampling day.

Bulk milk samples from 1,169 dairy herds were collected and tested. A total of 3,709 individual blood samples from 1,258 beef herds were received for analyses in pools ($n = 1,621$). The sampled herds represented approximately 18.4% of the Norwegian cattle herds (Table 1).

Bulk milk samples were analysed using an indirect ELISA for detection of anti BHV-1 antibodies, either i) SVANOVIR® IBR-Ab from Boehringer Ingelheim Svanova (Uppsala, Sweden) until March 2020, or ii) ID Screen IBR Milk Indirect (IDvet, Grabels, France) thereafter. Bulk milk samples with inconclusive or positive results were re-tested in duplicates. In case of positive or doubtful reactors, new bulk milk samples from the suspected herd were requested and tested.

Blood samples (pooled or individual samples) were analysed either with the indirect ELISA SVANOVIR® IBR-Ab (Boehringer Ingelheim Svanova, Uppsala, Sweden) or ID Screen IBR (IDvet, Grabels, France). In case of positive or inconclusive results in pooled blood samples, individual samples were re-tested. Individual samples with inconclusive or positive results were re-tested in duplicates using the same method. In case of doubtful reactors, new blood samples from the suspected herd, were requested and tested. All samples were analysed at the Norwegian Veterinary Institute.

Table 1: Numbers of dairy herds and beef herds sampled within the frame of the Norwegian surveillance programme for IBR/IPV in 2020.

Herd category	Cattle herds (total no. ¹)	Sampled herds (no. ²)	Sampled herds (%)
Dairy herds ³	7 211	1 169	16.2
Beef herds ⁴	5 730	1 258	22.0
Total	13 073	2 404	18.4

¹Based on data from the Register of production subsidies as of 1 March 2020.

²Combined beef cattle and dairy farms could be sampled under both herd categories. Number of unique farms is given as total number of sampled herds.

³Cattle herds delivering milk to dairies.

⁴Sampling performed at slaughterhouses.

Results

All bulk milk samples tested in 2020 were negative for antibodies against BHV 1. Eight blood samples were either inconclusive or positive while the remaining blood samples were negative. Between five and eleven blood samples were submitted from the suspected herds. All samples were negative, and all herds included in the program were also concluded to be negative for antibodies against BHV-1. Table 2 shows the results of the testing during the period from 1993 to 2020.

Table 2: Numbers of samples and positive results of the surveillance programme for IBR/IPV in the Norwegian cattle population during the period 1993-2019

Year	Dairy herds	Beef herds		No. of positive samples
	No. of herds sampled	No. of herds sampled ¹	No. of individuals tested ²	
1993	26 642	0	0	1
1994	24 832	1 430	5 954	0
1995	25 131	1 532	9 354	0
1996	2 863	303	1 523	0
1997	2 654	2 214	16 741	0
1998	2 816	2 191	17 095	0
1999	2 930	2 382	18 274	0
2000	1 590	340	2 892	0
2001	2 564	434	3 453	0
2002	2 308	462	3 693	0
2003	1 845	449	3 901	0
2004	1 573	402	3 364	0
2005	1 919	484	4 766	0
2006	1 673	479	4 624	0
2007	1 575	412	4 241	0
2008	1 422	444	4 616	0
2009	1 315	435	5 048	0
2010	1 265	507	4 020	0
2011	1 226	1 278	4 758	0
2012	1 189	1 178	4 308	0
2013	1 042	1 167	4 079	0
2014	1 489	935	4 132	0
2015	1 176	1 205	3 698	0
2016	1 179	1 330	4 211	0
2017	1 107	1 448	4 282	0
2018	1 131	1 341	4 153	0
2019	1 071	1 328	4 124	0
2020	1 169	1 258	3 709	0

¹Sampling performed in the herds prior to 2011.

²A small number of blood samples collected at slaughterhouses could originate from dairy herds.

Discussion


Up to 2008, a blocking ELISA (2), which had been evaluated in a retrospective analysis using a simulation model (3), was used for the surveillance programme for IBR/IPV. After participation in a proficiency-testing scheme organized by the Veterinary Laboratories Agency Weybridge, New Haw, England, a commercial indirect ELISA replaced the previously used blocking ELISA. The new method was found better suited for testing bulk milk specifically.

In addition to the surveillance programme, all breeding bull candidates are serologically tested before entering the breeding centres, and all breeding bulls are subject to a compulsory test each year.

The results of the programme since 1993 strongly indicate that the Norwegian cattle population is free from IBR/IPV infection (4).

References

1. Tharaldsen J, Krogsrud J, Ødegaard Ø. Påvist besetningsinfeksjon med bovin herpesvirus 1 (BHV-1) [Herd infection with bovine herpes virus (BHV-1) detected, No]. *Nor Vet Tidsskr* 1993; 105: 363-4.
2. Nylin B, Strøger U, Rønsholt L. A retrospective evaluation of a bovine herpes virus-1 (BHV-1) antibody ELISA on bulk-tank milk samples for classification of the BHV-1 status of Danish dairy herds. *Prev Vet Med* 2000; 47: 91-105.
3. Paisley LG, Tharaldsen J, Jarp J. A retrospective analysis of the infectious rhinotracheitis (bovine herpes virus-1) surveillance program using Monte Carlo simulation models. *Prev Vet Med* 2001; 50: 109-25.
4. Klem TB, Åkerstedt J, Klevar S. The surveillance programme for infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR) and infectious pustular vulvovaginitis (IPV) in Norway 2019. Surveillance programmes for terrestrial and aquatic animals in Norway. Annual report 2019. Oslo: Norwegian Veterinary Institute 2020.



*Scientifically ambitious, forward-looking
and collaborative- for one health!*



Veterinærinstituttet
Norwegian Veterinary Institute

Ås

Trondheim

Sandnes

Bergen

Harstad

Tromsø

postmottak@vetinst.no
www.vetinst.no